

**00170**

**1970/04/25**

SECRET/EXDIS

Classification

(95)

Department of State

POL 7 (AMB-CHICOM)

CONT: 1017-115

DATE: 25 APR 70

INDICATE:

☐ COLLECT

☐ CHARGE TO

TELEGRAM

NEWS REVIEW

(Cat. A) Caption removed;  
Transferred to C/FADRC  
Cat. B Transferred to O/FADRC

With additional address  
provided by S/S  
Caption and custody  
provided by S/S

By: [Signature]

4-16-88

19

DISTRIBUTION

AMB  
DCM  
CHRON/D

ACTION: Secstate WASHDC IMMEDIATE 10174

WARSAW 1017

EXDIS

SUBJECT: Sino-US Talks: Date for Next Meeting

REF : STATE 056700; STATE 051560; STATE 046126

1. SIMONS, with ANDREWS, received CH' IEN and YEH at Chinese request 1200 April 25 at US Embassy.
2. Ch'ien said Chinese regretted their proposal of April 15 for 137th meeting of Sino-US Ambassadorial-level talks was inconvenient to US and found our proposal of April 30 inconvenient for them. Therefore proposed May 20 as date next meeting.
3. After consultation with me, Simons informed Ch'ien US agreed to May 20. Re press announcement, we intend handle in accordance with past practice, i.e., within 24 hours in capital. As KREISBERG had suggested to Ch'ien, we intended refer only to date, and not to number in series.
4. Ch'ien, after checking with Yeh, said he would report to

DRAFTED BY:

TWSimons, Jr/NGAndrews:aim

DRAFTING DATE

4/25/70

TEL EXT.

201

APPROVED BY:

AMB - Walter J. Stoessel, Jr.

CLEARANCES:

SECRET/EXDIS

Classification

Charge and would contact Simons if Charge had any further comment. Asked if we could expect call April 25, he said he was unable to say with certainty.

5. Remainder fifteen-minute meeting small talk. Simons raised only point of substance when noted that Chinese appeared to be sending ambassadors to various posts and wondered if this general policy affected only specific posts. Ch'ien said he had no information.

6. Atmosphere relaxed. Chinese asked about Embassy working hours (probably to assure themselves that reception at side entrance was result of front entrance being closed during weekend and not intended as slight).

~~GP-1.~~

7. Comment. See no reason why we should not proceed with announcement of date next meeting, even though Chinese position re mention of number not yet clear.

GP-1.

STOESSEL

WES